



# PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST

(RCW 42.56)

DEPARTMENT: \_\_\_\_\_

NAME: RON WRIGHT DATE: 02-11-10  
TELEPHONE: 951-233-0710 E-MAIL: NAR9350@GMAIL.CO  
ADDRESS: 3327 N. INDIAN TRAIL RD PMB 135  
CITY: SPOKANE, WA 99208 STATE: \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP: \_\_\_\_\_

**IDENTIFICATION/DESCRIPTION OF RECORDS(S) SOUGHT:**

Please be as specific as possible. We will be able to process your request faster if you clearly identify the records you are requesting to review. Note that pursuant to RCW 42.56.520, we have five (5) business days to respond to your request.

SEE ATTACHED STATEMENT

"PUBLIC DOCUMENT REQUEST - ADDENDUM"

☐ I wish to have copies made (prepayment may be required).  
☒ I wish to review the records before copies are made.

☐ Mail records (prepayment required).  
☐ Call me - will pick up records.

I certify that any lists of individuals obtained through this request will not be used for commercial purposes (RCW 42.56.070(9)).

Signature: 

**FOR DEPARTMENT USE ONLY:**

Date Received: _____	Staff: _____
Date Completed: _____	Staff: _____
Copies Provided: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Total: \$ _____
Request Denied: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Reason: _____

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**SUBMIT COMPLETED FORM TO:** City Clerk's Office  
5<sup>th</sup> Floor City Hall  
808 W. Spokane Falls Blvd.  
Spokane, WA 99201  
(509) 625-6350  
FAX: (509) 625-6217

## **Public Document Request - Addendum**

I would like to review all emails/memos/documents/letters related to or associated with Ms. McKereghan's email of 11-28-07 at that time. See the attached header information. Specifically I'm looking for emails generated by Mr. Cooley to City Council in response to Ms. McKereghan's email.

I would like to review all emails related to this topic since 02-06-10, when I notified you of my concerns for the safety and integrity of City documents and emails. You can disregard my emails or duplicates that have no additional comments by other persons or City staff.

As you know the suspect Treasurer's webpage has been "scrubbed." I notified Mr. Braktel of this yesterday and requested he preserve all forensic server information regarding this deletion. Specifically I want forensic information of what computer terminal/computer operator ID made this modification of the City's webpage. Also from systems backups of this page how long the wording "CPA" existed after Mr. Cooley's name before this was scrubbed.

I would like to review the federal 2008 audit report where there were four "findings" re lax accounting/auditing practices.

And finally and this will take some time all statements, documents, emails and/or other city correspondence where Mr. Cooley has used CPA after his name or signed to that effect after 06-30-10.

From: "Donna McKereghan" <mckereghan@comcast.net>  
To: "Pffister, Terri" <TPffister@SpokaneCity.org>  
Cc: "Tim Ford" <agoombudsman@atg.wa.gov>,  
"Dalton, Pat" <PDalton@spokanecity.org>  
References:  
<6A837C49E00A4E42AD3867BA8D41E91C0CB1F6D3@COSMAIL1.spokanecity.org>  
Subject: Public Records and Open Meetings  
Date: Wed, 28 Nov 2007 03:13:59 -0800  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;  
boundary="-----\_NextPart\_000\_002C\_01C8316C.B7D30CB0"  
X-Priority: 3  
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.3138  
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.3198

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----\_NextPart\_000\_002C\_01C8316C.B7D30CB0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Thanks, Terri. I was expecting an email response, so when I didn't =  
receive it by 4 pm this afternoon, I emailed you. I'd calculated the =  
16th, 19th, 20th, 21st and 26th as 5 days, making this the 6th - since =  
you had it first thing that Friday morning, but it's no big deal as long =  
as they're in process. I wanted to make sure that the request was in =  
the works before our new mayor took office this evening and the records =  
I requested from the old administration were deleted.

I don't know who should receive the rest of this email, so I'll leave =  
the forwards up to you...

The documentation that I presented to City Council on the 19th =  
concerning the City's claim that tax revenue shortfalls on the Iron =  
Bridge TIF had been paid from general funds has been submitted to the =  
State Auditor's office. Olympia advises me that if this has occurred, =  
it is a violation of the Washington State Constitution. I hope there's =  
some other explanation, but having allowed several days after my =  
presentation to Council and receiving none, I submitted the documents.

Private meetings and discussions among City Council members continues to =  
be a problem. 1) Immediately after the Council meeting where I =  
presented the above documents, City Council members discussed the matter =  
privately. 2) This evening, I was watching a video of a past council =  
meeting with a citizen who called my attention to something one of the =  
Council members said. The council member objected to an amendment that =  
had been proposed in the public meeting after the council had agreed to =  
the language of the resolution, prior to the meeting. 3) In a third =  
incident, I was present when a citizen was informed, prior to a public =  
meeting of the Council, that there weren't going to be enough =

affirmative votes to pass the issue that the citizen wanted to see =  
passed. To know that, of course, the Council member would have already =  
had to speak with a majority of the council members, outside of the =  
public meeting. And, there have been numerous times when citizens have =  
known - or at least thought they knew, based on information that they =  
were given prior to a public council meeting, what the outcome of a vote =  
was going to be. =20

Finally, I received an email this afternoon in which the sender claimed =  
that our CFO, Gavin Cooley, is no longer a Certified Public Accountant =  
because he let his certification lapse and that he cannot, therefore, be =  
held responsible for his work product. Of course, City employees are =  
indemnified, so my concern is not about holding him personally =  
accountable - as is apparently the senders concern - and I have no =  
reason to believe there's any issue over which he would be held =  
accountable, in any event. However, I \*am\* concerned that this may =  
create problems relative to the State and Federal documents that he =  
signs on behalf of the City, as our CFO. The City was sited in last =  
year's audit for non-compliance in the Federal grant process and I =  
surely would hate to see us lose Federal grants for continuing =  
violations of the requirements of these processes.

Sincerely,

Donna L. McKereghan